### **Outdoor Heritage Fund Grant Application**



Project Name: Enhancing State Parks Through Strategic Tree and Shrub Plantings

Name of Organization:	North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department
Federal Tax ID#:	45-0433249
Contact Person/Title:	Cole Garman / Outreach and Engagement Chief
Address:	604 E. Blvd. Dept 750
City:	Bismarck
State:	North Dakota
Zip Code:	58505
E-mail Address:	cagarman@nd.gov
Web Site Address:	https://www.parkrec.nd.gov/
Phone:	701-328-5353

List names of co-applicants if this is a joint proposal: N/A

### **MAJOR Directive:**

Choose only one response

O **<u>Directive A</u>**. Providing access to private and public lands for sportsmen, including projects that create fish and wildlife habitat and provide access for sportsmen.

O **<u>Directive B</u>**. Improving, maintaining, and restoring water quality, soil conditions, plant diversity, animal systems and by supporting other practices of stewardship to enhance farming and ranching.

X **<u>Directive C</u>**. Developing, enhancing, conserving, and restoring wildlife and fish habitat on private and public lands; and

O **<u>Directive D</u>**. Conserving natural areas and creating other areas for recreation through the establishment and development of parks and other recreation areas.

### **Additional Directive:**

Choose all that apply

X Directive A.

O <u>Directive B</u>. O <u>Directive C</u>.

X Directive D.

Type of organization:

X State Agency

- O Political Subdivision
- O Tribal Entity
- O Tax-exempt, nonprofit corporation.

### Abstract/Executive Summary (500 words)

This grant request aims to conduct North Dakota Parks and Recreation's most extensive statewide tree planting initiative to date. The requested funding will allow the department to execute a strategic and concerted tree and shrub planting program which will cover every North Dakota State Park.

Under Chapter 55-08 of the North Dakota Century Code, the parks and recreation department "shall plan and coordinate government programs encouraging the full development and preservation of existing and future parks, outdoor recreation areas and nature preserves." Over the past four years, North Dakota State Parks have seen record visitation, with annual numbers exceeding 1.2 million visitors and 95,000 overnight stays which equates to nearly 315,000 overnight guests by visitors coming from as far away as Mexico, Canada, and Europe. Our state parks offer a diverse array of outdoor recreation opportunities to both citizens and tourists, spanning from day use recreation and education to extended camping experiences, all within publicly accessible lands.

As the utilization of our state parks continues to rise, there is a growing need to prioritize conservation and specifically focus on our natural resources. Trees are integral to the visitor experience. They provide shade, shelter, delineation of spaces, habitat, erosion control and an aural and visual aesthetic. Many of the trees in our parks, however, are aging out, victims of pests or disease, or simply not at optimal locations. Our initial focus will be to obtain and plant larger containerized trees in high-priority (Tier I) areas where they can quickly establish and have a positive impact on the visitor experience.

This grant application seeks to address this need by strategically planting and mapping containerized stock of shade trees and large shrubs. These plantings are intended to establish quickly and provide enduring benefits to citizens and tourists for decades to

come. Through this request of \$1,410,335 we aim to enhance the ecological health and recreational value of North Dakota's state parks by executing a project with a total cost of over \$1,750,000 that will enhance our parks' tree inventory to help foster a sustainable environment for present and future generations to enjoy.

### Project Duration: 2024 - 2027

Schedule for drawing down OHF funds	: November 30, 2024,
	June 15, 2025,
	November 30, 2025,
	June 15, 2026,
	November 30, 2026,
	June 15, 2027
	10.005

Amount of Grant Request:	\$ 1,410,335
Amount of Matching Funds:	\$ 352,565
Total Project Costs:	\$ 1,762,900

### Table 1 Matching Funds

Amount of Match	Funding Source	Type of Match (Cash, In-kind or Indirect)
\$177,190	State Special Funds	Cash
\$150,375	State Special Funds	In-Kind, Labor
\$25,000	Federal	Cash

### Certifications

X I certify that this application has been made with the support of the governing body and chief executive of my organization.

X I certify that if awarded grant funding, none of the funding will be used for any of the exemptions noted in the back of this application.

### Narrative

**History**: In 1921, North Dakota began the process of establishing state parks. By 1963, North Dakota had six parks, seven recreation areas, and fifty-three state historical monuments. In 1965, a North Dakota Park Service was established. In 1977 the Park Service was changed to North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department. Today there are 14 parks, 28 natural and recreational areas totaling over 20,000 owned and leased acres.

**Mission Statement:** Enrich generations through experiences that connect people and places.

**Organization:** ND Parks and Recreation is comprised of the following major divisions: Business Services, Human Resources, Communications, Education and Programs, Planning and Projects, Natural Resources, Field Operations (East & West), Outreach and Engagement and Recreation.

**Natural Resources Division**: The Natural Resources Division administers natural resource management programs including habitat enhancement projects through noxious weed control, tree and shrub planting, woodland management, prairie enhancement and restorations, streambank stabilization, and conservation education.

Natural Resources Division staff include one full-time Natural Resources Division Chief, one long-term temporary natural resource specialist, and four seasonal natural resource technicians. The natural resource team works closely with Planning and Projects, Outreach and Engagement, and Field Operations Division teams.

Collaboration across various sectors is crucial for achieving the goals and objectives of the Natural Resources Division. Partnering with state, federal, and private organizations enhances the effectiveness of initiatives such as prairie restoration and prescribed fire burns, tree and shrub planting, tree risk assessment and mitigation, forest health, and conservation education. Each partner brings unique expertise, resources, and perspectives, enabling a more comprehensive and sustainable approach to natural resource management and conservation. By working together, these organizations can leverage their strengths and address challenges more efficiently, benefiting the environment and enhancing visitor experiences.

### **Purpose of Grant**

**Goal:** The goal is to create and maintain healthy, diverse ecosystems anchored by appropriate native tree and shrub plantings within state parks that support wildlife, provide recreational opportunities, contribute to broader conservation efforts, and enhance the visitor experience. North Dakota Parks and Recreation intends to undertake its most extensive statewide tree planting initiative to date. The requested funding will allow the

department to execute a strategic and concerted tree and shrub planting program in Tier I A visitor centric areas in every North Dakota State Park.

**Strategy:** To assess, categorize, develop landscape planting templates, install, evaluate, and manage comprehensive tree and shrub plantings in high-priority state park landscapes starting with Tier I A priority areas.

**Background - Strategic Planning:** In late 2023, the North Dakota Parks & Recreation Department (NDPRD) identified the need to scope out the potential magnitude of a statewide, concerted program to increase tree and large shrub plantings at state parks. With the department's mission being to "Enrich generations through experiences that connect people and places", increasing attention is being placed on the visitor experience, from provision of shade to enhancement of wildlife viewing through habitat enhancements. Thoughtful plantings of trees and large shrubs provide many of these enhancements.

Planting efforts to date have been of relatively small volume with small stock and primarily aimed at replacing or supplementing existing trees. Landscape architects from the firm Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc (SEH) were retained to bring third party eyes to a set of representative parks to develop typical model planting design templates, assist in establishing priorities and in preparing cost estimates.

Areas were calculated and the degree of existing tree cover applicable to the ideal templates estimated, generating tree numbers and sizes required. Applied against cost factors for supply, contracted planting and related costs, costs generated for each of the representative parks for a long term, complete program. Per acre averages of these planting program costs were then applied to the remaining parks in the NDPRD system and divided into manageable 3-year program increments for a statewide planting program.

Once funding is approved, detailed planting plans can be generated for both contracting purposes and future in-house installations. Plans that can reflect specific nuances of regional stock availability at the time of installation, contracting strategy, most recent tree removals, other infrastructure initiatives and plant grouping for efficient maintenance resource allocation

### **Comprehensive Tree Planting Strategy**

Landscape architects from the firm Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc initiated the first steps of NDPRD's Comprehensive Tree Planting Strategy, including inventory maps, land-use category maps, and a landscape matrix database.

In person site review, discussions with NDPRD staff and aerial imagery review and analysis allowed for use area types to be identified and classified into four priority tiers. Tier I and Tier II areas were identified as the areas having most impact on the visitor experience and the priority for first phases of a planting program.

The <u>site inventory map</u> highlights existing infrastructure and larger plantings. The purpose of the map is to document areas of use and planned use areas. Areas on the maps include Cabin sites, campgrounds, cultural areas, hay lands, maintenance areas, native grasslands, RV and boat storage, sewer lagoon/pond, shelterbelts, shops, and tree rows.

From the site inventory map a <u>site category map</u> was created which is a visual representation of the Landscape matrix. (Refer to Figure 1 Grahams Island State Park Category Map.)

- Built Environment (entrance, visitor and activity centers, cabins, amphitheaters)
- Campground (dense, dispersed, and primitive camping sites)
- Corridors (roadways and trails)
- Cultural Resources (Native American cultural and sacred places)
- Facilities (maintenance buildings and areas, storage areas)
- Historical Resources (structures, elements and interpretive)
- Open Space (wooded areas unprogrammed, cultivated, programmed i.e., playgrounds)
- Shorelines (boat launches, beaches, and fishing area)

Each subcategory e.g., Dispersed campgrounds, cabins, trails was then assigned a tier level from I-IV with I being highest priority for tree plantings. Tiers levels categorized planting site priorities to allow for strategic planning, resource allocation, and decision-making in tree and shrub planting initiatives within state park landscapes. Refer to Table for an example of a landscape matrix database for Grahams Island State Park.

- <u>Tier I A</u> areas include visitor centric areas such as campgrounds, visitor centers, activity centers, concessions, and marina approaches.
- <u>Tier I B areas include additional plantings in visitor centric areas such as</u> <u>campgrounds, visitor centers, activity centers, concessions, and marina</u> <u>approaches.</u>
- <u>Tier II areas include visitor facing facilities such as entry roadways</u>, trails, and primitive camp sites.
- <u>Tier III</u> areas include maintenance areas requiring shade or screening, areas that have experienced significant removals, programmed open spaces and waterfront activity areas such as beaches, fishing areas, boat launches and areas anticipated for future development where early, planned tree planting could enhance the finished product.
- <u>Tier IV</u> areas include unprogrammed open space, shelterbelts, existing tree stands, treatment lagoon areas and areas which may have a high likelihood of sensitive cultural sites and artifacts or paleontological features.

Aerial photo interpretation was used for approximating dimensions of all sub-categories. Based on dimensions and acres, tree counts were estimated for each tree type such as canopy trees, understory and small trees, conifers, and shrubs. Values in the landscape database matrix can then be manipulated based on years, funds available or percentage of tree count goal to meet. A summary of all parks with estimated park use areas identified for proposed planting has also been generated. This table provides projected tree planting costs within 5 years and projected 5+ years.

Individual Park planting cost estimates are easily extrapolated from the Landscape Matrix database. For this project we are looking at <u>three-year plant cost estimates</u> in <u>Tier I A</u> <u>Priority areas</u> (campgrounds, visitor centers, activity centers, concessions, and marina approach areas). Refer to Table 4 for an example of Grahams Island State Park planting estimate: Tier I A priority. Landscape Matrix data can also be manipulated to select multiple Tier priority areas.

The landscape matrix database will guide allocation of resources for future tree and shrub planting efforts within state parks, facilitate strategic decision-making, maximize tree planting program outcomes, and promote sustainable management practices. In addition to the database, landscape template infographics includes category planting goals, suggested canopy, understory and conifer trees and shrubs, and a visual representation of ideal planting layouts.



Figure 1 Example of a Landscape Matrix for Category Campground



Figure 2 Example of a Park Category Map

### Table 2 Tree Planting Costs Projections Summary

PARK NAME	ESTIMATED TARGET PLANTING ACRES	ESTIMATED TOTAL TREE COUNT ALL TIER 1 SITES	I PL/ FOF	ESTIMATED ANTING COST R TIER 1 SITES	PLANT ESTIM 1 PER	ING COST ATE TIER ACRE	ESTIMATED ITEM COUNT FOR TIER 1 WITHIN 3 YRS	PRO COS	DJECTED PLANTING ST TIER 1 WITHIN 3 YEARS
BEAVER LAKE STATE PARK	283	163	\$	90,125.00	\$	318.46	131	\$	73,060
CROSS RANCH STATE PARK	420	185	\$	101,425.00	\$	241.49	160	\$	87,371
FORT ABRAHAM LINCOLN STATE PARK	600	261	\$	146,280.00	\$	243.80	224	\$	125,678
FORT RANSOM STATE PARK	385	170	\$	95,385.00	\$	247.75	138	\$	77,454
ICELANDIC STATE PARK	403	175	\$	97,890.00	\$	242.90	150	\$	84,046
LAKE METIGOSHE STATE PARK	350	160	\$	88,520.00	\$	252.91	120	\$	67,390
LEWIS & CLARK STATE PARK	410	608	\$	327,460.00	\$	798.68	366	\$	202,688
LITTLE MISSOURI STATE PARK	20	40	\$	22,530.00	\$	1,126.50	31	\$	17,335
SULLY CREEK STATE PARK	63	85	\$	49,830.00	\$	790.95	65	\$	38,196
TURTLE RIVER STATE PARK	586	411	\$	238,570.00	\$	407.12	206	\$	98,675
FORT STEVENSON STATE PARK	586	1743	\$	982,715.00	\$	1,676.99	586	\$	455,038
GRAHAMS ISLAND STATE PARK	575	400	\$	233,360.00	\$	405.84	139	\$	88,001
LAKE SAKAKAWEA STATE PARK	500	1614	\$	904,220.00	\$	1,808.44	439	\$	347,969
TOTALS	5181	6015	\$	3,378,310.00			2755	\$	1,762,900

ITEM	GISP	FSSP	LSSP	TRSP	SCSP	LMOSP	LCSP	LMSP	ISP	FRSP	FALSP	CRSP	BLSP	Totals
PLANTS														
CANOPY TREES	105	452	327	150	38	15	204	60	75	68	124	79	65	1762
UNDERSTORY TREES	10	10	10	21	15	12	82	24	30	28	51	32	26	468.2
CONIFER TREES	17	17	17	25	12	4	6	18	24	22	9	9	21	292
SHRUBS	7	107	85	10	0	0	74	18	21	20	40	40	19	441
Sub Totals	139	586	439	206	65	31	366	120	150	138	224	160	131	2755
PLANT ACCESSORIES														
TUBES/ STAKES	74	400	292	74	38	15	174	53	68	64	111	68	56	1487
FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)	50	305	220	49	23	8	90	38	51	48	60	60	40	1022
MATERIALS														
MULCH (CY)	48	301	49	50	22	10	126	42	51	48	77	77	45	1095

### Table 3 Itemized Plant Materials and Supplies by Park

TIER I TOTAL COS	PLANTING COST ESTIMATE: TIER I PRIORITY Within 3 Years										
	ESTIMATED				PROJECTED	ESTIMATED	PROJECTED				
	TOTAL ITEM	TOTAL ITEM	TOTAL ITEM	TOTAL ITEM			ESTIMATED	PLANTING	ITEM COUNT	PLANTING COST	
	COUNT ALL			PLANTING COST	PERCENTAGE	FOR TIER 1	TIER 1 WITHIN 3				
ITEM	<b>TIER 1 SITES</b>	UNIT	UNIT COST	FOR TIER 1 SITES	WITHIN 3 YRS	WITHIN 3 YRS	YEARS				
PLANTS											
CANOPY TREES	300	EACH	\$225	\$67,500	35%	105	\$23,625				
UNDERSTORY TREES	30	EACH	\$170	\$5,100	35%	10	\$1,700				
CONIFER TREES	50	50	50	50	50	EACH	\$240	\$12,000	35%	17	\$4,080
SHRUBS	20	EACH	\$80	\$1,600	35%	7	\$560				
PLANT ACCESSORIES											
TUBES/ STAKES	210	TREE	\$60	\$12,600	35%	74	\$4,410				
FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)	140	TREE	\$100	\$14,000	35% 49		\$4,900				
MATERIALS											
MULCH	138	CUBIC YARD	\$120	\$16,560	35%	49	\$5,796				
CONTRACTOR SERVICES											
PLANT, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION	1	LUMP SUM	\$94,000	\$94,000	35%	3	\$32,900				
MAINTENANCE											
3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT	3	YEAR	\$10,000	\$30,000	100%	3	\$30,000				
TOTALS	400			\$253,360		139	\$107,971				

### **Tree Stock Size**

Containerized nursery stock refers to plants that are grown and sold in containers rather than being directly planted in the ground. These containers can vary in size and material, ranging from small pots to larger containers such as nursery cans or fabric grow bags. Cost estimates are based #10 (10 gallons) and #20 container (20 gallons). Both #10 and #20 containers are popular choices for nurseries and garden centers because they provide adequate space for plants to grow and develop healthy root systems.

A tree in a #20 container could range from a few feet tall for younger or smaller species to several feet tall for larger or more mature trees. It's not uncommon to find trees in #20 containers that are 6 feet or taller. In addition to height, the caliper of the tree's trunk is also an important consideration. Trees in #20 containers may have trunk diameters ranging from a few inches to several inches, depending on the species and age of the tree.

Containerized nursery stock offers several advantages over traditional bare-root plants. They typically have well-established root systems, which can lead to faster establishment and growth after transplanting. Additionally, containerized plants are easier to handle and transport, making them popular choices for both home gardeners and commercial landscapers.



Figure 3 Containerized Stock Gallon Sizes

<sup>1</sup>Tree Rows 4 U (https://treerows4u.com/articles/f/understanding-nursery-tree-sizes)

### **Species Selection**

Species selection includes a diverse mix of native tree and shrub species well-suited to each planting site's ecological conditions and management objectives. Selection of tree and shrub species will be based on their suitability to the planting site's soil, water availability, climate, general growing conditions, and the design objectives. Consideration and incorporation of tree and shrub species not native to North Dakota will include species that have proven resilient, adaptable, and ecologically valuable within state park settings. Tree and shrub species lists have been developed for each park. See Table 6 for Grahams Island State Tree and Shrub List as an example.



Figure 4 Containerized stock planting at Fort Abraham Lincoln State Park

### North Dakota State Park Tree and Shrub Planting Suggested Native Trees and Shrubs

The following is a list of native tree and shrub species currently growing at the <u>most state parks</u> and other species (noted with \*) that are currently not native to the park but suitable for plantings. Primary criteria for additional species selection based on soils and species ability to withstand moisture stress and limited maintenance. Y = best, well adapted M= Moderately well adapted and N= Not well adapted, generally not recommended for new plantings at the park.

B/La jahuura	Mature	Common Nama	Latin Nama
IVIOISTURe	Wature	common Name	Latin Name
Juressy IOW	rieignt		
V Maint.	45-65	Americanelm	Illmus americana
v	18-20	Boyelder	Acer negundo
	6.14	Buffalohorny	Shenherdia argontoa
	40.70	Bur oak	Quercus macrosarna
1 V	40-70		Quercus macrocarpa
T V	12-25 F0 100	Cathornerry	Prunus virginiana
T NA	30-100	Dischautrent	Populus denoides
IVI	3-0	Black currant	Ribes americanum
	3-6	Golden currant	Ribes odoratum
Y	35-65	Green ash	Fraxinus pennsylvanica
M	40-60	Common hackberry	Celtis occidentalis
Y	15-20	Hawthorn	Crataegus chrysocarpa
Y	6-15	Juneberry	Amelanchia alnifolia
Y	45	Peach-leaved willow	Salix amygdaloides
Y	50-70	Ponderosa pine	Pinus ponderosa
Y	20-40	Rocky Mountain juniper	Juniperus scopulorum
Y	5-9	Silverberry	Elaeagnus commutata
Y	3-8	Skunk bush sumac	Rhus trilobata
Y	2-4	Tall cinquefoil	Potentilla arguta
Y	8-10	American plum	Prunus americana
Y	4-6	Wild rose	Rosa arkansana
Y	15-20	Amur maple*	Acer ginnala
Y	60-80	Silver maple*	Acer saccharinum
Y	30-60	Black hills spruce	Picea glauca var densata
Y	30-65	Colorado blue spruce*	Picea pungens
М	12-25	Canada red chokecherry*	Prunus virginiana 'Canada Red'
Y	40-50	Siouxland cottonwood*	Populus deltoides' siouxland'
Y	40-50	Hybrid poplar*	Populus hybrids
Y	4-6	Woods Rose	Rosa woodsii
0	- 31 - 15 <u>34</u>		
ources:	North D	akota Tree Handbook <u>https://ww</u>	/w.ag.ndsu.edu/trees/handbook/ndhand-1.htm
	Web So	il Survey <u>https://websoilsurvey.n</u>	rcs.usda.gov/app/
	North D	akota Tree Selector <u>https://www</u>	.ag.ndsu.edu/tree-selector
	NDDOT	ND Native Woody Vegetation Lis	t
	https://	www.dot.nd.gov/manuals/design	/designmanual/wordfiles_design/environmental/Appendix%20E10%20
	ative%2	0Woody%20Vegetation%20List.p	<u>df</u>

Figure 5 ND State Park Tree and Shrub Planting Suggested Species List

### Procurement of Plant Materials, Appurtenances, and Installation

The procurement bid process will follow the requirements outlined in North Dakota Century Code Chapter 48-01.2 (Public Improvement Bids and Contracts). The procurement bid process will accept bids from reputable nurseries that prioritize disease resistance and suitability for local environmental conditions and can ensure that tree and shrub planting stock are of high-quality plant materials that contribute to planting success and sustainability. Bid packages will be prepared with consideration to the contracting and material availability climates in the areas of the state where the parks are located. Depending on the circumstances of the bidding climate at the time of implementation, the potential of grouping parks with similar planting scenarios and the on-site constraints of each park, supply and installation might be bid separately or together, and with the opportunity for multiple, regionally based vendors. Vendors will be required to be bonded and meet state requirements for liability insurance.

GRAHAMS ISLAND STATE PARK - TREE PI			1	Tree Info	-	-
COMMON NAME	SCIENTIFIC NAME	Spread (ft)	Height (ft)	Sun	Zone	Soil Preference
CANOPY/ LARGE TREES (Heights >35 feet)						
BOX FLDER	Acer negundo	30-50	35-80	full	3	Moist wide-range
BED MAPLE	Acer rubrum	30-50	40-70	full/part	3	Moist slightly acidic
SILVER MAPLE	Acer saccharinum	35-50	50-80	full/part	3	Moist, acidic
BALSAM POPLAR	Populus balsamifera	25-40	50-80	full	1	Moist to wet
HACKBERRY	Celtis occidentalis	50	70	full	3	Wide-range
COTTONWOOD	Populus deltoides	40-70	65-100	full	2	Moist
QUAKING ASPEN	Populus tremuloides	30	50	full	1	Wide-range
BUR OAK	Quercus macrocarpa	80	80	full	2	Dry to moist
AMERICAN LINDEN	Tilia americana	30-50	50-80	full to shade	2	Moist, well-drained
AMERICAN ELM 'PRAIRIE EXHIBITION'	Ulmus americana 'Lewis & Clark'					
AMERICAN ELM 'PRINCETON'	Ulmus americana 'Princeton'					-
ELM 'NEW HORIZON'	Ulmus 'New Horizon'		50.70			
	Fraxinus pennsylvanica		50-70			
HOP-HORNBEAM (IRONWOOD)	Ostrya virginiana		25-40	1		
LINDERSTORY TREES (Height <35 feet)						
ONDERSTORT TREES (Height <55 leet)						
ΔΜΕΒΙζΑΝ ΡΙΤΙΜ	Prunus americana	12-20	12-20	full/nart	4	Wide-range
CHOKECHERRY	Prunus virainiana	20	25-45	full/ part	2	mid-range
PEACH-LEAF WILLOW	Salix amvadaloides	25-35	35-40	full/part	2	Moist, well-drained
PIN CHERRY	Prunus pensylvanica		25-35			
SMALL TREES/ LARGE SHRUBS (Height <20 feet or S	hrub-like Quality)					
AMUR MAPLE	Acer ginnala	15-20	15-20		2	
BUFFALOBERRY	Shepherdia argentea	8-12	6-20		2	
SMOOTH SUMAC	Rhus glabra		10-20			
JUNEBERRY	Amelanchia alnifolia		6-15			
CURRANT	Ribes sp.		3-6			
NANNYBERRY	Viburnum lentago		10-18			
HAWTHORN	Crataegus rotundifolia		15-20			
SILVERBERRY	Elaeagnus commutata		5-9			
SKUNKBUSH SUMAC	Rhus trilobata		3-8			
WOOD'S ROSE	Rosa woddsii		4-6			
TALL CINQUEFOIL	Potentilla arguta		2-4			
CANADA RED CHOKECHERRY	Prunus virginiana 'Canada Red'		12-25			
WILD ROSE	Rosa arkansana		4-6			
	Cornus sericea		6-12			
SANDCHERRY	Prunus besseyi		4-0			
CONIFERS						
ROCKY MOUNTAIN JUNIPER	Juniperus scopulorum	10-15	15-45	full	3	Well-drained
BLACK HILLS SPRUCE	Picea glauca var. densata	15	40	full	2	Dry to moist
PONDEROSA PINE	Pinus ponderosa	25	80	full	3	Dry to average
COLORADO BLUE SPRUCE	Picea pungens		30-65		-	,
	· · ·					

### Table 5 Example of Specific Park Tree and Shrub List

Green Ash Note: Planting green ash trees can be problematic due to the presence of the emerald ash borer (EAB), an invasive insect species that has devastated ash tree populations in North America. To mitigate the impact of the emerald ash borer, it has been recommended to avoid planting new ash trees, especially those that are susceptible to infestation. Instead, it's advisable to diversify tree species in landscapes and focus on planting species that are resistant to EAB or less susceptible to its damage.

### Tree and Shrub Installation

The tree planting project aims to plant a total of 1/3 of all trees and shrubs each year, with a target of planting all trees and shrubs by the end of the third planting season. This approach ensures a steady progression toward the overall goal.

Tree planting contractors will play a crucial role in installing tree and shrub planting projects, ensuring that the planting process is carried out efficiently and effectively and that project specifications are followed.

- Standard planting details and accompanying specifications relevant to the various planting conditions found at our state park properties, as well as planting layout diagrams will be completed for each bid package.
- Contractors/vendors awarded contracts will, before commencing delivery and installation, review provided project plans and planting designs, specifications and contract conditions with the project manager and field inspection staff.
- Contractors will procure the necessary equipment, tools, and materials for planting, such as soil amendments, planting stakes and mulch.
- Contractors will document installation activities, including plant quantities, species planted, planting techniques used, and any challenges encountered during the process. NDPRD project manager and field inspection staff will also document activities, review materials and plant stock prior to or at delivery on site, conduct quality control inspections and approve payments.
- Contractors may also be asked to assist NDPRD staff in conducting post-installation inspections or evaluations to assess the success of planting efforts, identify any warranty actions and identify opportunities for improvement or follow-up maintenance, typically at the end of the 1st. Growing season.

### Post Planting Assessments and Evaluations

Post-planting assessments and evaluations are crucial steps in ensuring the success and effectiveness of a tree planting project. Annual tree and shrub planting assessments will be conducted to track survival and evaluation of post-planting maintenance. All new tree and shrub plantings will be mapped using ArcGIS Online ESRI Field maps. Tree planting assessment reports will be distributed to all parks.

### **Post-Installation Management**

Managing post-tree planting maintenance involving fulltime, long-term, and seasonal employees and volunteers requires careful planning and coordination. Task allocation, supervision, oversight, and work schedules will be done at the park level and with overall coordination and quality control undertaken by the Natural Resources Division. All seasonal and volunteer time and activity will be closely tracked and recorded.

- Year 1: Establishment and Initial Growth Phase includes regular watering, weed control, monitoring for pests or diseases, staking and support, and installing fence and bark protectors.
- Year 2: <u>Growth and Development Phase</u> includes supplemental watering as needed, weed control, monitoring for pest and disease, light pruning to remove any dead or damaged branches, fertilization only after soil test, and replenishing mulch.
- Year 3+: <u>Maturation and Maintenance Phase</u> includes supplemental watering as needed, monitoring for pests and disease, light pruning to remove any dead or damaged branches, fertilization but only after soil test, and replenishing mulch.

### Benefits

By strategically incorporating tree and shrub plantings, state parks can not only enhance their natural beauty but also improve the overall experience for visitors by creating more visually appealing, tranquil, and environmentally sustainable spaces. Tree and shrub planting in state parks offers numerous environmental and recreational benefits:

- **Recreation and Education:** Trees and shrubs provide recreational activities such as hiking, birdwatching, and nature photography opportunities. State parks often use native vegetation for educational purposes, teaching visitors about local ecosystems and the importance of conservation.
- **Shade and Cooling:** In state parks, shaded areas offer visitors a comfortable environment for recreation and relaxation during the hot summer months. During cooler shoulder seasons, strategically located plantings can provide favorable microclimates and modify strong winds.
- **Privacy:** In areas where campsites are in close proximity to one another or park facilities, strategically planted trees and shrubs can provide privacy for park users and residents alike. Trees can also help to delineate public, semi-private and private spaces.
- Soil Conservation and Erosion Mitigation: Tree roots help stabilize soil, reducing erosion and preventing sedimentation in streams and rivers. This helps to protect water quality and maintain healthy aquatic ecosystems. Tree and shrub roots can also provide direct resistance to highwater erosive forces along streambanks in our parks.
- **Biodiversity:** Planting diverse species of trees and shrubs increases habitat diversity, supporting a wide range of wildlife, including birds, insects, and mammals. This promotes biodiversity and contributes to the overall health of the ecosystem.
- Aesthetic Value: Planting trees and shrubs enhances the visual appeal of state parks, making them more attractive destinations for visitors. Well-landscaped areas create scenic vistas, enhance local soundscapes, and contribute to a sense of tranquility and natural beauty. Spring and fall colors can attract additional visitation, especially in the eastern parks and recreation areas.

### Table 6 Project Timeline and Tasks

Tasks	Jul 2024 Nov 2024	- Dec 4 2024- June 2025	Jul 2025- Nov 2025	Dec 2025- June 2026	Jul 2026- Nov 2026	Dec 2026- June 2027	July 2027- Nov 2027
Parks site Inventory map updates							
Parks site category map updates							
Parks Tier I updates							
Tree planting assessments							
Landscape Matrices Updates/Planting Designs							
Species Selection per park							
Bid and Procurement							
Tree & Shrub Site Prep & Installation							
Post Planting Assessments							
Post Installation Management							
Status Reports							
Planning	ning Fi			Financia	l	Status R	eport

**Project Need:** State parks play a vital role in preserving natural landscapes, providing recreational opportunities, and safeguarding biodiversity. The challenges facing state park tree plantings and native woodlands, especially regarding mature and dying cottonwood forests, insect pests, disease, flooding, and funding for replacement trees and shrubs, as well as the development of new tree and shrub plantings in new development areas, are indeed multifaceted:

- Funding Constraints limited budgets and competing priorities.
- Replacement Trees and Shrubs cost of replacement, native species selection for containerized sock is limited
- Maintenance Needs watering, mulching and protection from pests and wildlife
- Development Area -integrating tree and shrub plantings into new development plans

Addressing these needs and challenges requires a combination of strategic planning, collaborative partnerships, and innovative funding mechanisms.

**Project Urgency:** Given that since 2020 North Dakota Parks and Recreation has seen record visitation and usage, urgent need to address tree replacement and management goals prioritizing and accelerating tree planting initiatives in state parks and native woodlands is essential. By taking immediate action to plant trees and restore degraded tree plantings and woodlands we can make meaningful progress towards conserving biodiversity and enhancing the resilience of our natural ecosystems.

**Project Innovative Features:** ArcGIS Online and Field Maps offer innovative tools for conducting tree planting assessments and tree risk assessments, enhancing efficiency, accuracy, and data-driven decision-making in forestry. Here are some ways these technologies are being used in ND state parks:

<u>Tree and Shrub Planting Mapping and Inventory:</u> ArcGIS – Field Maps are being used to create detailed maps and inventory databases of existing tree populations, including species, size, condition, management needs and location. Data included trees and shrubs that have failed and need to be replaced. Refer to for the sample tree planting assessment report map filtering out replants for 2024.



Figure 6 Sample Tree Planting Assessment Report Filtering out Replants for 2024.

<u>Tree Risk Assessment and Management:</u> Arc GIS – Field Maps are currently being used to conduct annual tree risk assessments which rely on identifying and assessing structural conditions to assess failure potential. Defect is the term commonly used to identify a condition or characteristic that is structurally weak or contributes to a structural weakness. All trees with 6" or greater diameters with potential targets are mapped using ArcGIS Online Field Map software, GNSS receiver, antennae, and iPad. Tree risk mitigation recommendations are made for each tree, such as Critical Removals, Critical Prunes, Removal, pruning, or annual inspection. All attempts are made to replace tree in high use area at a 2: 1 ratio and a 1:1 ratio for all other areas.

By leveraging ArcGIS and Field Maps in tree planting assessments and tree risk assessments, natural resources team can streamline data collection, improve decision-making, and enhance collaboration across project teams, leading to mor e effective and sustainable management of urban and natural tree populations.

### Project Process

The tree planting process involves several key steps, from initial assessment and species selection, purchase, installation, and maintenance.

- 1. Parks site Inventory and category map updates
- 2. Parks Tier I A updates
- 3. Annual Tree and shrub planting assessments
- 4. Annual Tree Risk Assessments
- 5. Landscape Matrices Updates/Planting Designs
- 6. Species Selection native species preference
- 7. Tree and shrub bids and procurement tree sourcing from local nurseries
- 8. Post-planting care Follow ND Forest Service 3-year maintenance plan

By following a systematic approach to tree and shrub planting, from assessment and species selection to purchase and installation, the Department can ensure the success and sustainability of tree-planting projects while enhancing the ecological, aesthetic, and social value of state parks and natural landscapes.

### Is this project part of a Comprehensive Conservation Plan? X Yes No

We have a system wide plan for recurring assessment, removal and planting as well as park specific natural resources management plans of which, this project will play a significant role.

### Management of Project

The management of this project will span multiple divisions of North Dakota Parks and Recreation. The Outreach and Engagement Division will oversee the grant administration process. The Business Services Division will carry out the procurement process in accordance with North Dakota Century Code Chapter 48-01.2. And finally, the Natural Resources Division along with the Parks Operation Division will oversee the timeline and implementation of this project, with both Divisions working with Planning and Projects during planning phases.

**Natural Resources Division:** The Natural Resources Division Chief will serve as both the project and task content manager.

The Natural Resources Division conduct all tree planting assessments and conduct all tree planting spatial and tabular data updates. Staff are responsible for compiling all data in Tree Planting Assessments Reports. Natural resources staff will also assist in the selection and purchasing of trees and shrubs. Natural Resources Division Chief will work with Business Services Division in the procurement of tree stock and tin the contractor bid process.

Natural Resources Division seasonal natural resource technicians and Specialists will assist park staff in site preparation, tree plantings and maintenance tasks.

**Field Operations:** Park Rangers and Groundskeepers will assist with tree management post installation tasks.



A <u>tree service contractor's</u> primary responsibility is to execute tree planting operations efficiently and effectively. This involves delivery of stock, planting trees according to industry standards, and ensuring proper spacing and alignment. Contractor services expenses will be split between OHF request of \$531,570 and Cash Match of \$177,190.

<u>Volunteers</u> will play a crucial role in maintaining tree plantings in various way including planting and watering, mulching, and weeding and the installation of deer fence, tree tubs and bark protectors.

### Evaluation

Post-planting tree assessments are conducted after trees have been planted to evaluate their health, growth, and overall performance. These assessments are essential for determining the success of the tree planting project and identifying any issues that may need to be addressed. Contractors may conduct post-installation inspections or evaluations to assess the success of planting efforts and identify opportunities for improvement or follow-up maintenance, typically at the end of the first Growing season.

Annual tree and shrub planting assessments will be conducted to track survival and evaluation of post-planting maintenance. All new tree and shrub plantings will be mapped using ArcGIS Online ESRI Field maps. Tree planting assessment reports will be distributed to all parks. Key aspects typically covered in post-planting tree assessments include survival rate, environmental stressors, pest and disease Incidence, and maintenance needs.

				PROJECTED
	ESTIMATED			PLANTING
	TOTAL EXPENSE			COST TIER 1
	COUNT TIER 1			WITHIN 3
PLANTS	SITES	UNIT	UNIT COST	YEARS
CANOPY TREES	1762	EACH	\$225	\$396,315
UNDERSTORY TREES	468	EACH	\$170	\$79 <i>,</i> 594
CONIFER TREES	292	EACH	\$240	\$69,888
SHRUBS	441	EACH	\$80	\$35,264
PLANT ACCESSORIES				
TUBES/ STAKES	1487	TREE	\$60	\$89,130
FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)	1022	TREE	\$100	\$102,150
MATERIALS				
MULCH	1095	CUBIC YARD	\$120	\$131,424
CONTRACTOR SERVICES				
PLANT, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION	1	LUMP SUM	\$708,760	\$708,760
MAINTENANCE				
3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT	1	LUMP SUM	\$150,375	\$150,375
TOTAL				\$1,762,900

### Table 7 Project Costs

### Table 8 Project Budget and Match

			Appl	icant Match	Applicant Match	Applicant Match	Other	Project	Tota	al Each Project
Project Expense	0	HF Request	Sh	are (Cash)	(In-kind)	Share (Indirect)	Sponso	or's Share		Expense
CANOPY TREES	\$	371,315					\$	25,000	\$	396,315
UNDERSTORY TREES	\$	79,594							\$	79,594
CONIFER TREES	\$	69,888							\$	69,888
SHRUBS	\$	35,264							\$	35,264
TUBES/ STAKES	\$	89,130							\$	89,130
FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)	\$	102,150							\$	102,150
MULCH	\$	131,424							\$	131,424
PLANT TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION	\$	531,570	\$	177,190					\$	708,760
3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 150,375				\$	150,375
Total Costs	\$	1,410,335	\$	177,190	\$ 150,375	\$ -	\$	25,000	\$	1,762,900

### **Budget Narrative**

Overall, volunteers contribute significantly to the maintenance of tree plantings by providing labor, expertise, and community engagement, ultimately contributing to the health and vitality of urban forests and green spaces. For budget purposes volunteer hours have been listed under in-kind match. It is estimate that over 150 volunteers will participate in this tree planting project. Accumulating over 600 hours, resulting in approximately \$12,000 sponsor match.

Seasonal and long-term temporary staff are paid through special fund revenue dollars. It is estimated that over 9000 hours of seasonal time will be dedicated to post tree and shrub establishment tasks over the three-year project. This is equivalent to approximately \$138, 375 which is to be used as the in-kind match.

In 2024 North Dakota Parks and Recreation received \$25,000.00 from the ND Forest Service American the Beautiful Tree planting grant. It is anticipated that the Department will apply for this grant at least once during the life of this Outdoor Heritage grant. Grant amount is capped at \$25,000.

### Sustainability

North Dakota Parks and Recreation recently established the Outreach and Engagement Division which is dedicated to engaging stakeholders and seeking funding from various channels such as grants, donations, sponsorships, partnerships, and revenue-generating activities. The Outreach and Engagement Division is dedicated to seeking funds for the long-term sustainability of the department tree planting and subsequent maintenance projects.

### Partial Funding

In the event that this grant proposal is partially funded, the Department can administer the project through a proportional reduction in the number of trees planted. Despite the potential for a decrease in the project scope, the Department remains committed to maximizing the impact of the project within the revised scope while still including all park properties but scaling back the

number of trees and shrubs. Project administrators and managers will diligently prioritize activities, streamline processes, and leverage resources efficiently to achieve our objectives and deliver meaningful outcomes. A partial funding still represents a valuable opportunity to continue our work towards tree and shrub plantings in ND State Parks and we are confident that with careful planning and strategic execution, we will make significant strides towards our goal.

### Partnership Recognition

The department will continue to strengthen tree planting partnership recognition strategies acknowledging the contributions of the Outdoor Heritage Fund who have supported ND state park tree plantings efforts for over 10 years. Strategies include:

- Create Branded Materials: Develop branded materials such as certificates and plaques, to formally recognize OHF for their contributions to tree planting efforts.
- Host Recognition Events: Organize special events or ceremonies to publicly recognize and celebrate the contributions of partners.
- Share Success Stories: Share success stories and impact metrics to showcase the collective achievements of the partnership.
- Engage Media and Public Relations: Leverage media and public relations channels to amplify the visibility of the partnership and its contributions to tree planting initiatives.
- Provide Regular Updates: Keep partners informed and engaged by providing regular updates on project progress, milestones reached, and upcoming opportunities for involvement.

Can you meet all the provisions of the sample contract? **X** Yes No

### North Dakota Parks and Recreation Department Comprehensive Tree Planting Strategy

**Goal:** The goal is to create and maintain healthy, diverse ecosystems anchored by appropriate native tree and shrub plantings within state parks that support wildlife, provide recreational opportunities, contribute to broader conservation efforts, and enhance the visitor experience. North Dakota Parks and Recreation intends to undertake its most extensive statewide tree planting initiative to date. The requested funding will allow the department to execute a strategic and concerted tree and shrub planting program which will cover every North Dakota State Park.

**Strategy:** To assess, categorize, develop landscape planting templates, install, evaluate, and manage comprehensive tree and shrub plantings in high-priority state park landscapes starting with Tier I priority areas.

**Background-Strategic Planning:** In late 2023, the North Dakota Parks & Recreation Department (NDPRD) identified the need to scope out the potential magnitude of a state-wide, concerted program to increase tree and large shrub plantings at state parks. With the department's mission being to "Enrich generations through experiences that connect people and places", increasing attention is being placed on the visitor experience, from provision of shade to enhancement of wildlife viewing through habitat enhancements. Thoughtful plantings of trees and large shrubs provide many of these enhancements.

Planting efforts to date have been of relatively small volume with small stock and primarily aimed at replacing or supplementing existing trees. Landscape architects from the firm Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc (SEH) were retained to bring third party eyes to a set of representative parks to develop typical model planting design templates, assist in establishing priorities and in preparing cost estimates.

Areas were calculated and the degree of existing tree cover applicable to the ideal templates estimated, generating tree numbers and sizes required. Applied against cost factors for supply, contracted planting and related costs, costs generated for each of the representative parks for a long term, complete program. Per acre averages of these planting program costs were then applied to the remaining parks in the NDPRD system and divided into manageable 3-year program increments for a statewide planting program.

Landscape architects from the firm Short Elliott Hendrickson, Inc initiated the first steps of NDPRD's Comprehensive Tree Planting Strategy, including inventory maps, land-use category maps, and a landscape matrix database.

In person site review, discussions with NDPRD staff and aerial imagery review and analysis allowed for use area types to be identified and classified into four priority tiers. Tier I and Tier II

areas were identified as the areas having most impact on the visitor experience and the priority for first phases of a planting program.

The site inventory map highlights existing infrastructure and larger plantings. The purpose of the map is to document areas of use and planned use areas. Areas on the maps include Cabin sites, campgrounds, cultural areas, halyards, maintenance areas, native grasslands, RV and boat storage, sewer lagoon/pond, shelterbelts, shops, and tree rows.







From the site inventory map a site category map was created which is a visual representation of the Landscape matrix.

- Built Environment (entrance, visitor and activity centers, cabins, amphitheater's)
- Campground (dense, dispersed, and primitive camping sites)
- Corridors (roadways and trails)
- Cultural Resources (Native American cultural and sacred places)
- Facilities (maintenance buildings and areas, storage areas)
- Historical Resources (structures, elements and interpretive)
- Open Space (wooded areas unprogrammed, cultivated, programmed i.e., playgrounds)
- Shorelines (boat launches, beaches, and fishing area)







Each subcategory e.g., Dispersed campgrounds, cabins, trails was then assigned a tier level from I-IV with I being highest priority for tree plantings. Tiers levels categorized planting site priorities to allow for strategic planning, resource allocation, and decision-making in tree and shrub planting initiatives within state park landscapes.

Tier I areas include visitor centric areas such as campgrounds, visitor centers, activity centers, concessions, and marina approaches.

- Tier II areas include visitor facing facilities such as entry roadways, trails, and primitive camp sites.
- Tier III areas include maintenance areas requiring shade or screening, areas that have experienced significant removals, programmed open spaces and waterfront activity areas such as beaches, fishing areas, boat launches and areas anticipated for future development where early, planned tree planting could enhance the finished product.
- Tier IV areas include unprogrammed open space, shelterbelts, existing tree stands, treatment lagoon areas and areas which may have a high likelihood of sensitive cultural sites and artifacts or paleontological features.

Aerial photo interpretation was used for approximating dimensions of all sub-categories. Based on dimensions and acres, tree counts were estimated for each tree type such as canopy trees, understory and small trees, conifers, and shrubs. Values in the landscape database matrix can then be manipulated based on years, funds available or percentage of tree count goal to meet. A summary of all parks with estimated park use areas identified for proposed planting has also been generated.

Below are three examples of the Landscape Matrix Databases; Grahams Island, Lake Sakakawea and Fort Stevenson State Parks.

GRAHAMS ISLAND STATE PARK LANDSCAPE MATRIX DATABA	SE															
CATEGORY (Subrategony)	TIER	OVERALL DIMENSION FROM		CANOPY/ LARG	E TREE RATE (TREE C	COUNT #/	UNDERSTORY/ SN	NALL TREE RATE (TR MENSION UNIT)	EE COUNT #/	CONIFER TREE RA	TE (TREE COUNT #/ D UNIT)	MENSION	PROJECTED PERCENT OF	CANOPY/		CONIFER TREE
	LEVEL,	CATEGORY PLAN <sup>3</sup>		TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	TREE PLANTINGS*	COUNT	COUNT	COUNT
BUILT ENVIRONMENT																
Built Environment - Entry/ Vistors/ Activity Centers	=	200,000	SQ FT	4	10,000	SQ FT	3	10,000	SQ FT	2	10,000	SQ FT	50%	40	30	20
Built Environment - Cabins	=	30,000	SQ FT	ω	7,500	SQ FT	2	7,500	SQ FT	3	7,500	SQ FT	25%	з	2	ω
Built Environment - Amphitheater	=	10,000	SQ FT	4	10,000	SQ FT	ω	10,000	SQ FT	2	10,000	SQ FT	25%	1	1	1
CAMPGROUND																
Campground - Dense Camping Sites	=	450,000	SQ FT	80	15,000	SQ FT	2	15,000	SQ FT	2	15,000	SQ FT	10%	24	6	6
Campground - Dispersed Camping Sites	_	1,200,000	SQ FT	6	12,000	SQ FT	1	12,000	SQ FT	1	12,000	SQ FT	50%	300	50	50
Campground - Primitive Camping Sites	=	400,000	SQ FT	8	12,000	SQ FT	1	12,000	SQ FT	0	12,000	SQ FT	20%	53	7	0
CORRIDORS																
Corridors - Roadways	=	15,900	LIN FT	10	200	LIN FT	4	400	LIN FT	6	400	LIN FT	20%	159	32	48
Corridors - Trails (summer & winter)	=	14,256	LIN FT	6	500	LIN FT	4	1000	LIN FT	6	1000	LIN FT	30%	51	17	26
CULTURAL RESOURCES																
Cultural Resources - Native American Cultural & Sacred Places	2	50	ACRE	4	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	0	0	0
FACILITIES																
Facilities - Maintenance Buildings/Areas	=	125,000	SQ FT	8	25,000	SQ FT	2	25,000	SQ FT	8	25,000	SQ FT	20%	∞	2	
Facilities - Storage Areas/ Treatment Areas	=	2,500	LIN FT	6	500	LIN FT	6	500	LIN FT	25	500	LIN FT	75%	23	23	94
HISTORICAL RESOURCES																
Historical Resources - Structures/ Elements	2	TBD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	0	0	0
Historical Resources - Interpretive	2	TBD	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	0	0	0
OPEN SPACE																
Open Space - Wooded Areas	=	94	ACRE	30	1	ACRE	18	1	ACRE	0	1	ACRE	5%	141	85	0
Open Space - Unprogrammed	R	24	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	20%	14	14	14
Open Space - Cultivated	2	150	ACRE	0.67	1	ACRE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10%	10	0	0
Open Space - Programmed (ie playgrounds)	=	2	ACRE	16	1	ACRE	8	1	ACRE	10	1	ACRE	50%	16	∞	10
REDEVELOPMENT																
Redevelopment - Proposed 23 Cabin Sites	=	10	ACRE	12	1	ACRE	3	1	ACRE	0	1	ACRE	65%	78	20	0
SHORELINES																
Shorelines - Boat Launches/ Beaches/ fishing	=	4	ACRE	4	1	ACRE	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	100%	16	0	0
OTHER																
Other/ Specialty - TBD																
											GRAHAMS ISLA	ND STATE PA	ARK TOTAL TREE COUNT	937	297	280

# **GRAHAMS ISLAND STATE PARK TREE COUNT SUMMARY TABLE**

TBEE TVDE	L	REE COUNTS PI	ER TIER PRIORII	Y	TOTAL TREE	
	Ι	=	Ξ	Л	COUNTS	
CANOPY/ LARGE TREES	300	366	247	24	937	
UNDERSTORY/ SMALL TREES	50	123	110	14	297	
CONIFER TREES	50	204	12	14	280	

				1068		175		C	85		597			918		CANOPY/IARGE TREES
			IS	COUN		2			=		=			_		
			REE	TOTAL TI			<b> </b> ~	RIORIT	R TIER P	<b>IS PEF</b>	NDO	REE C	Ξ			
		,														
							Ë	Y TAB	MAR	MUS	TNI	COL	REE	ARK T	E P/	LAKE SAKAKWEA STAT
																"PROJECTED TREE PLANTING NEEDS NEXT 5 TO 10 YEARS
										IDICATED.	TEGORIES AS IN	DR CERTAIN CA	RK FACILITIES F	I DAKOTA STATE PA	IS AT NORTH	CATEGORY TYPOLOGY GRAPHIC REPRESENTS HIGH-LEVEL CONCEPTS FOR PLANTING
	765	1059	1968	ARK TOTAL TREE COUNT	AWEA STATE P.	LAKE SAKAK	_			_						
								-	-	_						
					1											Other/ Specialty - TBD
	c	c	48	*001	N/A	N/A	0	/A N/A	0	ACRE	1	4	ACKE	71	=	Shorelines - Boat Launches/ Beaches/ Tishing No OTHER No
	,	,	6	1000			,		,	1007			A CONT	3		SHORELINES
	32	64	51	80%	ACRE	1	10	1 ACRE	20	ACRE	1	16	ACRE	4	-	Redevelopment of Marina C-Store & Facilities Yes
	ę	đ	SC.	200X	- ANNE	•	20	- ACUT	d	DOM:		20	- CONC	4		REDEVELOPMENT
	5	Å C	R -	100%	N/M	, N/N	10		0 N/N	ACRE		16	ACRE	r 22	= <	Open Space - Native Matter Meds (Voc
	175	175	175	20%	ACRE	1	N/A 3	ACRE	N/A 3	ACRE		3	ACRE	292	2 2	Open Space - Unprogrammed No
	0	90	150	10%	ACRE	. да	0	1 ACRE	18	ACRE	1	30	ACRE	50	=	Open Space - Wooded Areas No
																OPEN SPACE
	0	0	0	0%	ACRE	N/A	N/A	1 ACRE	2	ACRE	1	4	ACRE	N/A	N	Historical Resources - Structures/ Interpretive Areas No
	44	ţ		0070	2.1 A 10	000		50	-		oor	4		2007		HISTORICAL RESOURCES
	7 P	17	17	50%		500	у, «		л н л С		500	л o		1 700	= =	Facilities - Maintenance Buildings/Areas Yes Yes
		;		2000	6		,		;	8	1 000	,	3			FACILITIES
No tree plantings assumed to protect resources; Change percent to add trees	0	0	0	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	/A N/A	N/A N	N/A	N/A	N/A	ACRE	N/A	N	Cultural Resources - Native American Cultural & Sacred Places No
	ļ	:	ŝ	2010	2004.1	Anite		500 E111			oor			and and		CULTURAL RESOURCES
	27	71	564	40%		1000	nσ		4 4		500	۵ 10		18,200		Corridors - Roadways Yes Yes Yes
												;			:	CORRIDORS
	0	85	170	50%	SQ FT	4,000	0	DOD SQ FT	2 4,	SQ.FT	4,000	4	SQ FT	340,000	=	Campground - Primitive Camping Sites No
Dimension unit assumed to be one camping site	68	135	270	%06	SQ FT	10,000	1	DOD SQ FT	2 10	SQ FT	10,000	4	SQ FT	750,000	-	Campground - Dispersed Camping Sites No
Dimension unit assumed to be two camping sites	161	321	482	90%	SQ FT	7,000	1	DOD SQ FT	2 7/	SQ FT	7,000	3	SQ FT	1,250,000	-	Campground - Dense Camping Sites Yes
	4	5	7	30%	SQ FT	10,000	2	000 SQ FT	3 10	SQ FT	10,000	4	SQ FT	60,000	=	Built Environment - Amphitheater No CAMPGROUND
	4	4	5	30%	SQ FT	20,000	6	000 SQ.FT	6 20	SQ FT	20,000	00	SQ FT	40,000	=	Built Environment - Cabins Yes
	7	10	13	80%	SQ FT	10,000	2	000 SQ FT	3 10	SQ FT	10,000	4	SQ FT	42,000	-	Built Environment - Entry/ Vistors/ Activity Centers/ Marinas Yes
																BUILT ENVIRONMENT
		COUNT	COUNT		NUNIT	INT DIMENSIC	TREE COU	NSION UNIT	REE COUNT DIME	UNIT	DIMENSION	TREE COUN	-	CATEGORY PLAN		(Yes/No)
NOTES	CONIFER TREE	UNDERSTORY	CANOPY/ LARGE TREE	PROJECTED PERCENT OF	REE COUNT #/	TREE RATE (T. DIMENSION L	E CONIFER	ILL TREE RATE (TRE	UNDERSTORY/ SM/ COUNT #/ DIN	ATE (TREE 4 UNIT)	LARGE TREE R	CANOPY/ COUNT	UNIT	OVERALL DIMENSION FROM		CATEGORY (-Subcategory) CATEGORY (-Subcategory) GRAPHIC
																LARE SARAWEA STATE PARK LANDSCAPE MATRIX DA LABASE
															-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

	Ц	REE COUNTS PI	ER TIER PRIORIT	Y	TOTAL TREE
ואבר וויר		=	II	N	COUNTS
CANOPY/ LARGE TREES	816	597	380	175	1968
UNDERSTORY/ SMALL TREES	530	179	175	175	1059
CONIFER TREES	268	141	181	175	765

FORT STEVENSON STATE PARK LANDSCAPE MATRIX DATAB.	1SE																
CATEGORY (-Subcategory)	CATEGORY TYPOLOGY GRAPHIC		OVERALL DIMENSION FROM	UNIT	CANOPY/ L	ARGE TREE R/ / DIMENSION	NTE (TREE	UNDERSTOR	. #/ DIMENSION	RATE (TREE UNIT)	CONIFER TR	EE RATE (TRE MENSION UN	E COUNT #/	PROJECTED PERCENT OF	CANOPY/ LARGE TREE	UNDERSTORY / SMALL TREE	CONIFER TREE
	(Yes/No) <sup>1</sup>	LEVEL	CATEGORY PLAN <sup>3</sup>	- 1	TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	TREE COUNT	DIMENSION	UNIT	IREE PLANTINGS	COUNT	COUNT	
BUILT ENVIRONMENT																	
Built Environment - Entry/ Vistors/ Activity Centers/ Marinas	Yes	-	900,000	SQ FT	4	10,000	SQ FT	з	10,000	SQ FT	2	10,000	SQ FT	%06	324	243	162
Built Environment - Cabins	Yes	=	100,000	SQ FT	ω	7,500	SQ FT	2	7,500	SQ FT	ω	7,500	SQ FT	50%	20	13	20
Built Environment - Amphitheater	No	≡	10,000	SQ FT	4	10,000	SQ FT	ω	10,000	SQ FT	2	10,000	SQ FT	50%	2	2	1
CAMPGROUND																	
Campground - Dense Camping Sites	Yes	-	150,000	SQ FT	2	3,600	SQ FT	1	3,600	SQ FT	0.5	3,600	SQ FT	%06	75	38	19
Campground - Dispersed Camping Sites	No	-	1,135,000	SQ FT	4	9,000	SQ FT	2	9,000	SQ FT	1	9,000	SQ FT	100%	504	252	126
Campground - Primitive Camping Sites	No	=	75,000	SQ FT	2	4,000	SQ FT	1	4,000	SQ FT	0	4,000	SQ FT	50%	19	9	0
CORRIDORS																	
Corridors - Roadways	Yes	=	12,500	LIN FT	10	200	LIN FT	4	400	LIN FT	2	400	LIN FT	20%	125	25	13
Corridors - Trails (summer & winter)	Yes	=	47,520	LIN FT	6	500	LIN FT	4	1000	LIN FT	2	1000	LIN FT	30%	171	57	29
CULTURAL RESOURCES																	
Cultural Resources - Native American Cultural & Sacred Places	No	<	11	ACRE	4	N/A	N/A	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0%	0	0	0
	V-	=	100 000	3	•	7 80	3	c	75 000	3		15 000	3	1000/	3	•	3
Facilities - Maintenance Buildings/Areas	Yes	=	100,000	SQ F	, o	20,000			23,000	N FI	; 0	20,000	N FI	%001	22	3 0	32
HISTOBICAL BESOLIBOES	fey	V	0,000		0	000		a	UUC		27	000		00%	20	20	200
Historical Resources - Structures/ Interpretive Areas	N	<	2.3	ACRE	4	-	ACRE	2	1	ACRE	N/A	N/A	ACRE	40%	4	2	0
OPEN SPACE																	
Open Space - Wooded/ Mixed Areas	No	=	168	ACRE	14	1	ACRE	12	1	ACRE	0	1	ACRE	%5	118	101	0
Open Space - Conifer Stands	No	<	21	ACRE	0	1	ACRE	0	1	ACRE	80	1	ACRE	10%	0	0	168
Open Space - Unprogrammed	No	₹	81	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	ω	1	ACRE	20%	49	49	49
Open Space - Programmed	Yes	=	9	ACRE	16		ACRE	8	1	ACRE	10	1	ACRE	50%	72	36	45
REDEVELOPMENT	-	•	1		;		2007	3	•	2007	5		A 227	4000/	2	3	;
Redevelopment - Future Events Center	NO	=	5.1 C.1	ACRE	ar		ACRE	20	• -	ACRE	, 10	<b>.</b> .	ACRE	100%		96 3J	51 B
SHORELINES	NO	-	44	ACAE	d	F	ACAE	4	F	ACNE	•	ŀ	ACNE	8/00T	1447	90	\$
Shorelines - Boat Launches/ Beaches/ fishing	No	=	3	ACRE	4	1	ACRE	0	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	100%	12	0	0
OTHER																	
ourci) Sherian A																	
												ORT STEVENS	ON STATE PA	ARK TOTAL TREE COUNT	1757	1023	987
ATTOODY TYPOLOCY ODADILIC DEDDESENTS LICEL I FYEL CONCEDTS																	
<ul> <li>CATEGORY TYPOLOGY GRAPHIC REPRÉSENTS HIGH-LEVEL CONCEPTS</li> <li>TIRR LEVELS: (I-HIGHER PRIORITY), II, III, IV(-LOWER PRIORITY), FOR 1</li> <li>CATEGORY AREAS FOR 586-ACRE STATE PARK AREA</li> <li>SCATEGORY TREFS INATTING NEEDS NEXT 5 TO 10 YEARS</li> </ul>	FOR PLANTINGS	AT NORTH	DAKOTA STATE PARK	FACILITIES FOR	CERTAIN CAT	EGORIES AS I	NDICATED.										
FORT STEVENSON STATE	PAR	AL >	REE COU	NT SU	<b>NM</b>	IARY	TAB	E									
			TREE CO	UNTS I	PER TI		ORITY			10T/	AL TRE	m					
				=						3							
				=		=				6							
CANOPY/ LARGE TREES	و	8	<u>(1)</u>	33		260		259	9	4	.757						
UNDERSTORY/ SMALL TREES	5	33		104		177		209	9	1	023						
	<u>u</u>	3		5		3	4	5			707						
	U			02		y UU		70			100						

Below are examples of Individual Park planting cost estimates. year plant cost estimates in Tier I Priority areas (campgrounds, visitor centers, activity centers, concessions, and marina approach areas). Individual Park planting cost estimates are easily extrapolated from the Landscape Matrix database. For this project we are looking at three-

\$265,760	O STATE PARK	RAHAMS ISLANI	TIER I TOTAL COST - G	
\$33,000	\$11,000	YEAR	ω	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$103,400	\$103,400	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$16,560	\$120	CUBIC YARD	138	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$14,000	\$100	TREE	140	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$12,600	\$60	TREE	210	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
\$1,600	\$80	EACH	20	SHRUBS
\$12,000	\$240	EACH	50	CONIFER TREES
\$5,100	\$170	EACH	30	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$67,500	\$225	EACH	300	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
	<b>R I PRIORITY</b>	ESTIMATE: TIEF	D STATE PARK PLANTING COST E	GRAHAMS ISLAN

\$250,053	) STATE PARK	ìRAHAMS ISLAND	TIER III & IV TOTAL COST - G	
\$33,000	\$11,000	YEAR	з	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
005,565	005,565			PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
1	) ) 1 1 ) )		*	CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$17,400	\$120	CUBIC YARD	145	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$12,000	\$100	TREE	120	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$12,600	\$60	TREE	210	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
<i>\$4,500</i>	06\$	EACH	50	SHRUBS
\$6,240	\$240	EACH	26	CONIFER TREES
\$12,580	\$170	EACH	74	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$56,233	\$208	EACH	271	CANOPY TREES
F1)))))	÷ ))))	1	214	PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
1	& IV PRIORITY <sup>1</sup>	MATE: TIER III	ATE PARK PLANTING COST ESTI	GRAHAMS ISLAND ST
\$725,260	STATE PARK	RAHAMS ISLAND	TIER I & II TOTAL COST - GF	
\$90,000	\$30,000	YEAR	3	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
	4-0-1 000		ŀ	
¢781 600	\$781 600		-	DI ANT ELIRNISHING TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
\$45,360	\$120	CUBIC YARD	378	MULCH
				MATERIALS
	4100			
\$43,000	\$100	TREF	430	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$30,600	\$60	TREE	510	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
	y J U		ç	
\$6 310		EACH	50 	SHRIBS
\$60.960	\$240	EACH	254	CONIFER TREES
\$17.680	\$170	FACH	104	LINDERSTORY TREES
\$149,850	\$225	EACH	666	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
			IATE PARK FLANTING COST EST	
		INANTE. TIED I G		

\$410,067	A STATE PARK	AKE SAKAKAWE/	TIER I TOTAL COST - L	
\$59,198	\$19,733	YEAR	3	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$97,794	\$97,794	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$36,360	\$120	CUBIC YARD	303	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$26,000	\$100	TREE	260	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$23,400	\$60	TREE	390	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
\$13,600	\$80	EACH	170	SHRUBS
\$21,600	\$240	EACH	06	CONIFER TREES
\$20,740	\$170	EACH	122	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$111,375	\$225	EACH	495	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
	<b>RIPRIORITY</b>	ESTIMATE: TIEF	A STATE PARK PLANTING COST I	LAKE SAKAKAWE

\$250,053	) STATE PARK	RAHAMS ISLANE	TIER III & IV TOTAL COST - G	
\$33,000	\$11,000	YEAR	з	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$95,500	\$95,500	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$17,400	\$120	CUBIC YARD	145	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$12,000	\$100	TREE	120	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$12,600	\$60	TREE	210	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
\$4,500	90	EACH	50	SHRUBS
\$6,240	\$240	EACH	26	CONIFER TREES
\$12,580	\$170	EACH	74	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$56,233	\$208	EACH	271	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
		IV PRIORITY <sup>1</sup>	TING COST ESTIMATE: TIER III &	PLAN
\$897,475	- STATE PARK	& II TOTAL COST	TIER I 8	
\$90,000	\$30,000	YEAR	ω	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$357,700	\$357,700	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$58,080	\$120	CUBIC YARD	484	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$54,000	\$100	TREE	540	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$39,600	\$60	TREE	660	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
	-			
\$8,820	06\$	EACH	86	SHRUBS
\$70,560	\$240	EACH	294	CONIFER TREES
\$24,990	\$170	EACH	147	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$193,725	\$225	EACH	861	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
	& II PRIORITY	TIMATE: TIER I	STATE PARK PLANTING COST ES	LAKE SAKAKAWEA S

\$447,307	STATE PARK	FORT STEVENSON	TIER I TOTAL COST -	
\$64,665	\$21,555	YEAR	ω	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$106,827	\$106,827	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
\$39,720	\$120	CUBIC YARD	331	MULCH
				MATERIALS
\$28,000	\$100	TREE	280	FENCING (DEER PROTECTION)
\$25,800	\$60	TREE	430	TUBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
\$14,000	\$80	EACH	175	SHRUBS
\$23,520	\$240	EACH	86	CONIFER TREES
\$28,900	\$170	EACH	170	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$115,875	\$225	EACH	515	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
	<b>PRIORITY</b>	STIMATE: TIER	STATE PARK PLANTING COST E	FORT STEVESON

\$250,053	FOTAL COST -	TIER III & IV 1		
\$33,000	\$11,000	YEAR	3	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
\$95,500	\$95,500	LUMP SUM	1	PLANT FURNISHING, TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
				CONTRACTOR SERVICES
004,/IÇ	ΛZTĆ		143	
\$17 JON	\$130		1/15	MIICH
				MATERIALS
טטט,דב	ΛΠĊ	INEE	120	FEINCING (DEEN FNOTECTION)
¢12,000	\$100	TREE	120	TODEST STARLS
¢17 600	¢60	TDEE	010	TI IBEC / CTAVEC
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
<i>\$4,500</i>	065	EACH	50	SHRUBS
\$6,240	\$240	EACH	26	CONIFER TREES
\$12,580	\$170	EACH	74	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$56,233	\$208	EACH	2/1	CANOPY IREES
h			AU.	PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	UNIT	QUANTITY	ITEM
		IV PRIORITY <sup>1</sup>	TING COST ESTIMATE: TIER III &	PLAN
\$933,155	O STATE PARK	RAHAMS ISLANE	TIER I & II TOTAL COST - G	
\$90,000	\$30,000	YEAR	3	3-YEAR PLANT ESTABLISHMENT
				MAINTENANCE
001 (0104	, c,		ŀ	
\$373 400	\$373 400		_	DI ANT EI IRNISHING TRANSPORT & INSTALLATION
\$61,200	\$120	CUBIC YARD	510	MULCH
				MATERIALS
ουυ,υες	υντέ	ואבר	JOC.	ן בואכוואס (שרבוז בעיס ובכיווסוא)
¢ 1-2, 100	¢100	TDEE	550 550	
\$41 400	\$A0	TREE	690	TI IBES/ STAKES
				PLANT ACCESSORIES
	,			
\$10,530	06\$	EACH	117	SHRUBS
\$72,480	\$240	EACH	302	CONIFER TREES
\$29,920	\$170	EACH	176	UNDERSTORY TREES
\$198,225	\$225	EACH	881	CANOPY TREES
				PLANTS
SUBTOTAL COST	UNIT COST	TINU	QUANTITY	ITEM
	& II PRIORITY	'IMATE: TIER I	TATE PARK PLANTING COST EST	FORT STEVENSON S

visual representation of ideal planting layouts. database, landscape template infographics includes category planting goals, suggested canopy, understory and conifer trees and shrubs, and a strategic decision-making, maximize tree planting program outcomes, and promote sustainable management practices. In addition to the The landscape matrix database will guide allocation of resources for future tree and shrub planting efforts within state parks, facilitate





### CANOPY/ LARGE TREES Quaking Aspen Cottonwood Balsam Popula Ironwood Hackberry **Red Maple** Dakota Be Legendury Acer rubrum May 1, 2024 Parks & Recreation

American Elm American Linden Populus balsamiferia Ostrya virginiana Celtis occidentalis Ulmus americana Quercus macrocarpa Populus tremuloides Populus deltoides Tilia americana 'Princeton' 'Prairie Exhibition'

Bur Oak

# UNDERSTORY/ SMALL TREES

Peach-Leaf Willow	Pin Cherry	Chokecherry	
Salix amygdaloides	Prunus pensylvanica	Prunus virginiana	

# SMALL TH Juneberr Red Osie

MALL TRESS/ LARGE SH	IRUBS
Juneberry	Amelanchier alnifolia
Red Osier Dogwood	Cornus sericea
Hawthorn	Crataegus rotundifolia
Silverberry	Elaeagnus commutata
Tall Cinquefoil	Potentilla arguta
Sand Cherry	Prunus besseyi
Smooth Sumac	Rhus glabra
American Currant	Ribes americanum
Wild Prairie Rose	Rosa arkansana
Silver Buffaloberry	Shepherdia argentea
Nannyberry	Viburnum lentago
ONIFERS	
Rocky Mountain luniner	luninerus sconulorum

FERS	
y Mountain Juniper	Juniperus scopulorum
Hills Spruce	Picea glauca var. densata
ado Blue Spruce	Picea pungens
erosa Pine	Pinus ponderosa

aesthetics. for pedestrian focused areas for circulation and shrubs, perennials and grasses recommended \*Other landscape plant massings such as small

representative and will vary regionally. See state park planting approved lists. \*Plant species listed above are generally



Dakota Be Legendary Parks & Recreation May 1, 2024

Ostrya virginiana Populus balsamiferia Celtis occidentalis Acer rubrum Ulmus americana Tilia americana Quercus macrocarpa Populus tremuloides Populus deltoides 'Princeton' 'Prairie Exhibition

UNDERSTORY/ SMALL TREES

Salix amygdaloides Prunus pensylvanica Prunus virginiana

# SMALL TRESS/ LARGE SHRUBS

Elaeagnus commutata Crataegus rotundifolia Cornus sericea Amelanchier alnifolia Viburnum lentago Shepherdia argentea Rosa arkansana **Ribes** americanum Rhus glabra Prunus besseyi Potentilla arguta

Colorado Blue Spruce Rocky Mountain Juniper Black Hills Spruce Pinus ponderosa Picea pungens Picea glauca var. densata Juniperus scopulorum

for pedestrian focused areas for circulation and shrubs, perennials and grasses recommended \*Other landscape plant massings such as small

representative and will vary regionally. See state park planting approved lists. \*Plant species listed above are generally

North Dakota Parks & Recreation Department





# Dakota Be Legendary Parks & Recreation

UNDERSTORY / SMALL TR			American Elm	American Linden	Bur Oak	Quaking Aspen	Cottonwood	Balsam Popular	Ironwood	Hackberry	Red Maple	CANOPY/ LARGE TREES
FES	"Princeton"	'Prairie Exhibition'	Ulmus americana	Tilia americana	Quercus macrocarpa	Populus tremuloides	Populus deltoides	Populus balsamiferia	Ostrya virginiana	Celtis occidentalis	Acer rubrum	May 1, 2024

# UNDERSTORY Chokech

Peach-Leaf Willow	Pin Cherry	Chokecherry
Salix amygdaloides	Prunus pensylvanico	Prunus virginiana

# SMALL TRES

Juneberry

Hawthorn Red Osier D

Tall Cinquefo

	Amelanchier alnifolia
poomBo	Cornus sericea
	Crataegus rotundifolia
	Elaeagnus commutata
÷	Potentilla arguta
	Prunus besseyi
ac	Rhus glabra
irrant	<b>Ribes</b> americanum
Rose	Rosa arkansana
berry	Shepherdia argentea
	Viburnum lentago

## CONIFERS

Nannyberry Silver Buffald Wild Prairie Smooth Sum Sand Cherry

American Cu

Ponderosa Pine	Colorado Blue Spruce	Black Hills Spruce	Rocky Mountain Juniper	Columnities
Pinus ponderosa	Picea pungens	Picea glauca var. densata	Juniperus scopulorum	

\*Other landscape plant massings such as small shrubs, perennials and grasses recommended for pedestrian focused areas for circulation and

\*Plant species listed above are generally representative and will vary regionally. See state aesthetics.

park planting approved lists.

NORTH DAKOTA STATE PARKS										
PROJECTED PLANTING COSTS										
				TIER I P	RIORITY - WITHIN 5 YEARS		TIER II,	III & IV PRIORI	TY - PROJECTED BEYOND 5 YEA	ARS
PARK NAME	ESTIMATED PARK USE AREA (ACRES)	SETTING/GEOMORPHOLOGY	COMPARABLE PLANTING COST RATE (COST/ACRE) W/IN 5 YEARS	PERCENT APPLICABLE	APPLICABLE PLANTING COST RATE (COST/ACRE) W/IN 5 YEARS	PROJECTED PLANTING COSTS (W/IN 5 YEARS)	COMPARABLE PLANTING COST RATE (COST/ACRE) FOR 5+ YEARS	PERCENT APPLICABLE	APPLICABLE PLANTING COST RATE (COST/ACRE) 5+ YEARS	PROJECTED PLANTING COSTS (5+ YEARS)
BEAVER LAKE STATE PARK	283	Lakeside, rolling hillsides, Beaver Lake	\$1,818	25%	\$455	\$128,624	\$2,200	100%	\$2,200	\$622,600
CROSS RANCH STATE PARK	420	River bank corridor, Missouri River	\$455	75%	\$341	\$143,325	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$518,280
FORT ABRAHAM LINCOLN STATE PAR	600	Missouri River corridor, river bottoms	\$455	75%	\$341	\$204,750	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$740,400
FORT RANSOM STATE PARK	385	Sheyenne River corridor, meandering, dendritic drainage pattern, rolling topo	\$455	75%	\$341	\$131,381	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$475,090
ICELANDIC STATE PARK	403	Lakeside, rolling hillsides, Lake Renwick	\$455	75%	\$341	\$137,524	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$497,302
LAKE METIGOSHE STATE PARK	350	Plateau, at 2000 ft msl, Lake Metigoshe, School Section Lake	\$455	75%	\$341	\$119,438	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$431,900
LEWIS & CLARK STATE PARK	410	Lakeside, small bluffs, Lake Sakakawea	\$1,818	75%	\$1,364	\$559,035	\$2,745	100%	\$2,745	\$1,125,450
LITTLE MISSOURI STATE PARK	20	Badlands, dendritic drainageways extending to Little Missouri River	\$1,953	75%	\$1,465	\$29,295	\$2,745	100%	\$2,745	\$54,900
SULLY CREEK STATE PARK	63	Badlands, buttes, rolling hillsides, river bank, Little Missouri River	\$1,953	50%	\$977	\$61,520	\$2,745	100%	\$2,745	\$172,935
TURTLE RIVER STATE PARK	585	Meandering river corridor, river bottoms, rolling topo, oxbows, Turtle River	\$455	75%	\$341	\$199,631	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$721,890
LANDSCAPE MATRIX PARKS										
FORT STEVENSON STATE PARK	586	Gently rolling, lakeside (river dam), small bluff shorelines edge, Lake Sakakawea	\$1,818	75%	\$1,364	\$799,011	\$2,200	100%	\$2,200	\$1,289,200
GRAHAMS ISLAND STATE PARK	575	Large lake setting, island, gently rolling, Devils Lake	\$455	75%	\$341	\$196,219	\$1,234	100%	\$1,234	\$709,550
LAKE SAKAKAWEA STATE PARK	500	Lakeside (river dam), flat, open topography, small bluff shorelines edge, Lake Sakakawea	\$1,953	75%	\$1,465	\$732,375	\$2,745	100%	\$2,745	\$1,372,500
		ΤΟΤΑΙ Ρ	ROJECT PLANTING	G COSTS FO	R ALL STATE PARKS	\$3,442,127				\$8,731,997

sustainability. Recreation can create a strategic tree planting plan that maximizes environmental benefits, community engagement, and long -term By following this comprehensive tree planting strategy and tailoring the plan to park specific goals and context, North Dakota Parks and