

INDEPENDENT TECHNICAL REVIEWER

APPLICATION RATING FORM

Reviewer's Identification Number:

Date:

Proposal Number:

Application Title:

Section A. Mandatory Requirements:

	Yes	No
Eligible Entity and Project:		
Applicant is an eligible entity proposing an eligible project.		
Research, Technology, and Economic Security:		
Applicant demonstrates compliance with Build America/Buy America and provides information related to foreign national participation and foreign ownership.		
National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA):		
Applicant has provided documentation of NEPA compliance or a completed environmental questionnaire requesting a Categorical Exclusion determination from the NEPA compliance officer.		
Davis-Bacon Act:		
Applicant has demonstrated that all laborers paid by the applicant and subcontractors are paid wages at rates not less than those prevailing on similar projects in the locality.		
Cost-share:		
Applicant has meet the required cost-share of 1/3 of award value for utilities selling less than 4 million MWh annually, or 100% of award value for utilities selling more than 4 million MWh annually.		

Section B. Summary of Ratings:

Please complete the questions below and then fill in this summary.

	Statement	Rating (1-5)	X	Weighting Factor	=	Sub rating
1.	Objective 1		x	6	=	
2.	Objective 2		x	6	=	
3.	Objective 3		x	6	=	
4.	Level of Risk Mitigation		x	9	=	
5.	Facilities and Equipment		x	3	=	
6.	Budget		x	9	=	
7.	Jobs Created		x	3	=	
8.	Environmental Impacts		x	3	=	
9.	Expertise		x	6	=	
	Total					/255

Note: While points are necessary to establish an overall rating, comments on the various criteria are critical to truly understanding the technical feasibility of a proposed project. **Please elaborate in the comment sections to the maximum extent possible.**

Overall Technically Sound: If > 170 _____ Good
 160 - 170 _____ Fair
 If < 160 _____ Questionable

Section B. Ratings and Comments:

Please indicate your response to each statement by checking the box above the number and transfer the number selected to the column entitled “Checked Number” on the first page of this form. **Please comment on each criterion.**

1. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with Transmission Authority goals of projects:
Objective 1: Reduce magnitude and duration of grid outages

1 - Very Unclear	2 - Unclear	3 - Clear	4 - Very Clear	5 - Exceptionally Clear

Please comment:

2. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with Transmission Authority goals of projects:
Objective 2: Reduce frequency and impacts of grid outages

1 - Very Unclear	2 - Unclear	3 - Clear	4 - Very Clear	5 - Exceptionally Clear

Please comment:

3. The objectives or goals of the proposed project with respect to clarity and consistency with Transmission Authority goals of projects:
Objective 3: Provide lower-cost energy access to disadvantaged or underserved communities

1 - Very Unclear	2 - Unclear	3 - Clear	4 - Very Clear	5 - Exceptionally Clear

Please comment:

4. Level of risk mitigation: Applicant has provided a cost/benefit analysis demonstrating, based on stated objectives, how their project will reduce the frequency and/or the magnitude and duration of grid outages

1 – No Impact	2 – Small Impact	3 - Likely Impact	4 - Most Likely Impact	5 - Significant Impact

Please comment:

5. Applicant has demonstrated sufficient resources to complete the project :

1 - Very Limited	2 - Limited	3 - Adequate	4 - Better Than Average	5 – Exceptional

Please comment:

6. The proposed budget is comprehensive and sufficient relative to the outlined work and the timetable, with higher priority given to applicants who exceed the minimum required cost-share:

1 – Not Sufficient	2 – Possibly Sufficient	3 – Likely Sufficient	4 – Most Likely Sufficient	5 – Certainly Sufficient

Please comment:

7. Applicant has quantified temporary and permanent jobs created as part of the project, with higher priority given to applicants with higher amounts:

1 – Not Achievable	2 – Possibly Achievable	3 – Likely Achievable	4 – Most Likely Achievable	5 – Certainly Achievable

Please comment:

8. Applicant has identified any environmental impacts and demonstrated efforts taken to mitigate those impacts:

1 – Very Limited	2 – Limited	3 – Adequate	4 – Better Than Average	5 – Exceptional

Please comment:

9. The background and experience of the project principals with regards to technical qualifications and competence is:

1 – Very Limited	2 – Limited	3 – Adequate	4 – Better Than Average	5 – Exceptional

Please comment:

Section C. Overall Comments and Recommendations:

Please comment in a general way about the merits and flaws of the proposed project and make a recommendation whether or not the project is technically sound.

General comments:

Certification:

I hereby certify that all conditions of the Nondisclosure Agreement have been met.

Signature

Date